

**TRANSPAC Transportation Partnership and Cooperation**  
Clayton, Concord, Martinez, Pleasant Hill, Walnut Creek and Contra Costa County 2300  
Contra Costa Boulevard, Suite 360, Pleasant Hill, CA 94523 (925) 969-0841

The Honorable Dave Hudson, Chair  
Contra Costa Transportation Authority  
3478 Buskirk Avenue, Suite 100  
Pleasant Hill, California 94523

August 26, 2008

Dear Chair Hudson:

At its meetings on July 14 and July 24, 2008, TRANSPAC took the following actions that may be of interest to the Transportation Authority. A number of actions regarding the Central County Action Plan, the Measure J Growth Management Program, its implementation documents and implementing resolutions were taken as part of these meetings.

1. Approved the TRANSPAC TAC's Plan A recommendation. Prior to the meeting, CCTA staff communicated that the Plan A recommendation was acceptable and that a 3 month rather than 18 month review period is sufficient for the proposed review of all Measure J Growth Management documents (see details below).

- Plan A is based on the premise that the Transportation Authority's 2030 model includes the General Plans adopted by Central County local jurisdictions. Inclusion in the 2030 model means that these General Plans have been analyzed by the CCTA staff for effects on the regional transportation network. As a result, no additional MTSO analysis is required for a development project of any size which is consistent with an adopted Central County General Plan. This premise is proposed to be stated in the Central County Action Plan (and should be included in the Implementation Guide for the Growth Management Program) to make clear that no additional MTSO analysis will be required for development projects that are consistent with an adopted General Plan.
- Plan A also includes TRANSPAC's request to the Transportation Authority to concur that TRANSPAC may proceed with an Action Plan without MTSOs to allow more time to develop some other solution(s) to the MTSO dilemma. The Planning Committee is requested to review this request at its earliest convenience.
- In addition, TRANSPAC is requesting that during an +/- 18 month review process, all of the documents regarding the Growth Management Program (the Draft Growth Management Program Implementation Guide, its Technical Procedures, implementing Resolutions 95-06-G and 92-03-G) should be reviewed, aligned for consistency and repackaged into a single document for Authority adoption to be used by local jurisdictions for Growth Management Plan compliance purposes.
- Note: At the 7/24/08 meeting, in response to a CCTA staff statement that the Growth Management Program review could be completed in 3 months, TRANSPAC adopted a motion to revise the GMP review time line from 18 months to 3 months.
- TRANSPAC approved consideration of the redefinition of Routes of Regional Significance as super segments and/or future corridor management plans areas. The TAC

believes that the definitions for freeways address this issue and that the application of super segments/corridor management plan areas for arterials should be addressed after the review of the Growth Management Program and related documents is completed.

- In addressing the issue of General Plan Amendments (GPA), TRANSPAC approved a proposal to CCTA to revise Resolutions 95-06-G and 92-03-G as shown below.
- **Resolution 95-06-G:** In Attachment A, paragraph 2, revise to read - "The process requires that a jurisdiction study the impacts of a proposed GPA on the Action Plan when the size of the GPA exceeds the threshold size established by the RTPC in the Plan; ~~or~~ and 500 net new peak hour vehicle trips if such threshold has not been established."
- **Resolution 93-02-G:** In the fourth Whereas, revise to read - "Whereas as an interim measure, the Authority wishes to facilitate notification of affected jurisdictions of the preparation of environmental documents for proposed projects ~~or~~ and General Plan Amendments that generate more than 100 net new peak hour vehicle trips so that affected jurisdictions may comment on draft environmental documents; and ... "

2. TRANSPAC approved a motion that its elected representatives, Planning Commissioners and Technical Advisory Committee staff intend to fully participate in the review of the Measure J Growth Management Program and its implementing documents (the Implementation Guide and Technical Procedures) and Resolutions.

3. Completed its second full review of the TRANSPAC Action Plan chapters 2-5. Revisions will be incorporated before posting on the TRANSPAC web site.

TRANSPAC will release the revised Action Plan to the CCTA as requested, for use in the development of the Countywide Transportation Plan EIR after the completion of its review.

Within this context, TRANSPAC will continue its review of the Draft TRANSPAC Action Plan and update the project list in Chapter 5, Table 5-1. Please note that CCTA staff has been requested to revise the format of charts, tables (Chapter 2) and the Central County map.

4. TRANSPAC's position regarding review of the Draft Implementation Guide to be sent under separate cover.

5. Approved a letter of support for County Connection's New Freedom Grant Application for \$150,000 in planning funds for mobility management centers.

TRANSPAC hopes that this information is useful to you.

Sincerely,



David Durant  
TRANSPAC Chair

cc: TRANSPAC Representatives (packet mailing)  
TRANSPAC TAC and staff  
Gayle B. Uilkema, Chair, SWAT  
Will Casey, Chair, TRANSPLAN Sharon Brown, Chair, WCCTAC  
Robert McCleary, Paul Maxwell, Martin Engelmann, Arielle Bourgart, Peter Engel,  
Hisham Noeimi, Danice Rosenbohm, CCTA  
Mark Sakamoto, Nancy Cuneo, WCCTAC  
John Cunningham, TRANSPLAN  
Andy Dillard, SWAT  
Steve Wallace, City of Pleasant Hill