## Measure J Growth Management Program Compliance Checklist

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Action Plans | YES | NO | N/A |
| a. Is the jurisdiction implementing the actions called for in the applicable Action Plan for all designated Routes of Regional Significance within the jurisdiction? |  |  |  |
| b. Has the jurisdiction implemented the following procedures as outlined in the *Implementation Guide* and the applicable Action Plan for Routes of Regional Significance? |  |  |  |
| i. Circulation of environmental documents, |  |  |  |
| ii. Analysis of the impacts of proposed General Plan amendments and recommendation of changes to Action Plans, and |  |  |  |
| iii. Conditioning the approval of projects consistent with Action Plan policies? |  |  |  |
| c. Has the jurisdiction followed the procedures for RTPC review of General Plan Amendments as called for in the *Implementation Guide*? |  |  |  |
| 1. Development Mitigation Program | YES |  | NO |
| a. Has the jurisdiction adopted and implemented a local development mitigation program to ensure that new development pays its fair share of the impact mitigation costs associated with that development? |  |  |  |
| b. Has the jurisdiction adopted and implemented the regional transportation mitigation program, developed and adopted by the applicable Regional Transportation Planning Committee, including any regional traffic mitigation fees, assessments, or other mitigation as appropriate? |  |  |  |
| 1. Address Housing Options | YES |  | NO |
| a. Has the jurisdiction prepared and submitted a report to the Authority demonstrating reasonable progress in providing housing opportunities for all income levels under its Housing Element? The report can demonstrate progress by  (1) comparing the number of housing units approved, constructed or occupied within the jurisdiction over the preceding five years with the number of units needed on average each year to meet the housing objectives established in its Housing Element; or  (2) illustrating how the jurisdiction has adequately planned to meet the existing and projected housing needs through the adoption of land use plans and regulatory systems which provide opportunities for, and do not unduly constrain, housing development; or  (3) illustrating how its General Plan and zoning regulations facilitate improvement or development of sufficient housing to meet the Element’s objectives.  *Note: A copy of the local jurisdiction’s annual report to the state Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is sufficient.* |  |  |  |
| b. Does the jurisdiction’s General Plan—or other adopted policy document or report—consider the impacts that its land use and development policies have on the local, regional and countywide transportation system, including the level of transportation capacity that can reasonably be provided? |  |  |  |
| c. Has the jurisdiction incorporated policies and standards into its development approval process that support transit, bicycle and pedestrian access in new developments? |  |  |  |
| 1. Traffic Impact Studies | YES | NO | N/A |
| a. Using the Authority’s *Technical Procedures*, have traffic impact studies been conducted as part of development review for all projects estimated to generate more than 100 net new peak-hour vehicle trips? (Note: Lower traffic generation thresholds established through the RTPC’s Action Plan may apply). |  |  |  |
| b. If the answer to 4.a. above is “yes”, did the local jurisdiction notify affected parties and circulate the traffic impact study during the environmental review process? |  |  |  |
| 1. Participation in Cooperative, Multi-Jurisdictional Planning | YES |  | NO |
| a. During the reporting period, has the jurisdiction’s Council/Board representative regularly participated in meetings of the appropriate Regional Transportation Planning Committee (RTPC), and have the jurisdiction’s local representatives to the RTPC regularly reported on the activities of the Regional Committee to the jurisdiction's council or board? (Note: Each RTPC should have a policy that defines what constitutes regular attendance of Council/Board members at RTPC meetings.) |  |  |  |
| b. Has the local jurisdiction worked with the RTPC to develop and implement the Action Plans, including identification of Routes of Regional Significance, establishing Multimodal Transportation Service Objectives (MTSOs) for those routes, and defining actions for achieving the MTSOs? |  |  |  |
| c. Has the local jurisdiction applied the Authority’s travel demand model and *Technical Procedures* to the analysis of General Plan Amendments (GPAs) and developments exceeding specified thresholds for their effect on the regional transportation system, including on Action Plan MTSOs? |  |  |  |
|  | YES |  | NO |
| d. As needed, has the jurisdiction made available, as input into the countywide transportation computer model, data on proposed improvements to the jurisdiction’s transportation system, including roadways, pedestrian circulation, bikeways and trails, planned and improved development within the jurisdiction, and traffic patterns? |  |  |  |
| 1. Five-Year Capital Improvement Program | YES |  | NO |
| Does the jurisdiction have an adopted five-year capital improvement program (CIP) that includes approved projects and an analysis of project costs as well as a financial plan for providing the improvements? (The transportation component of the plan must be forwarded to the Authority for incorporation into the Authority’s database of transportation projects) |  |  |  |
| 1. Transportation Systems Management Program | YES |  | NO |
| Has the jurisdiction adopted a transportation systems management ordinance or resolution that incorporates required policies consistent with the updated model ordinance prepared by the Authority for use by local agencies or qualified for adoption of alternative mitigation measures because it has a small employment base? |  |  |  |
| 1. Adoption of a voter-approved Urban Limit Line | YES | NO | N/A |
| a. Has the local jurisdiction adopted and continually complied with an applicable voter-approved Urban Limit Line as outlined in the Authority’s annual ULL Policy Advisory Letter? |  |  |  |
| b. If the jurisdiction has modified its voter-approved ULL or approved a major subdivision or General Plan Amendment outside the ULL, has the jurisdiction made a finding of consistency with the Measure J provisions on ULLs and criteria in the ULL Policy Advisory Letter after holding a noticed public hearing and making the proposed finding publically available? |  |  |  |
| 1. Adoption of the Measure J Growth Management Element | YES | NO | N/A |
| Has the local jurisdiction adopted a final GME for its General Plan that substantially complies with the intent of the Authority’s adopted Measure J Model GME? |  |  |  |
| 1. Posting of Signs | YES | NO | N/A |
| Has the jurisdiction posted signs meeting Authority specifications for all projects exceeding $250,000 that are funded, in whole or in part, with Measure C or Measure J funds? |  |  |  |
| 1. Maintenance of Effort (MoE) | YES |  | NO |
| Has the jurisdiction met the MoE requirements of Measure J as stated in Section 6 of the Contra Costa Transportation Improvement and Growth Management Ordinance (as amended)? (See the Checklist Instructions for a listing of MoE requirements by local jurisdiction.) |  |  |  |
| 1. Submittal of LSM Reporting and Audit Forms | YES |  | NO |
| Has the local jurisdiction submitted a Local Street Maintenance and Improvement Reporting Form and Audit Reporting Form for eligible expenditures of 18 percent funds covering FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17? |  |  |  |
| 1. Other Considerations | YES | NO | N/A |
| If the jurisdiction believes that the requirements of Measure J have been satisfied in a way not indicated on this checklist, has an explanation been attached below? |  |  |  |

1. Review and Approval of Checklist

This checklist was prepared by:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Signature |  | Date |  |
| Name & Title (print) |  |  | |
| Phone |  | Email | |
| The council/board of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_has reviewed the completed checklist and found that the policies and programs of the jurisdiction as reported herein conform to the requirements for compliance with the Contra Costa Transportation Improvement and Growth Management Program. | | | |
|  |  |  |  |
| Certified Signature (Mayor or Chair) |  | Date |  |
| Name & Title (print) |  |  |  |
| Attest Signature (City/Town/County Clerk) |  | Date |  |
| Name (print) |  |  | |

### Supplementary Information (Required)

### 1. Action Plans

1. Please summarize steps taken during the reporting period to implement the actions, programs, and measures called for in the applicable Action Plans for Routes of Regional Significance:

1. Attach, list and briefly describe any General Plan Amendments that were approved during the reporting period. Please specify which amendments affected ability to meet the standards in the Growth Management Element and/or affected ability to implement Action Plan policies or meet Traffic Service Objectives. Indicate if amendments were forwarded to the jurisdiction’s RTPC for review, and describe the results of that review relative to Action Plan implementation:

Provide a summary list of projects approved during the reporting period and the conditions required for consistency with the Action Plan:

### 2. Development Mitigation Program

1. Describe progress on implementation of the regional transportation mitigation program:

### 3. Address Housing Options

1. Please attach a report demonstrating reasonable progress in providing housing opportunities for all income levels. (Note: A copy of the local jurisdiction’s annual report to the state Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is sufficient).

1. Please attach the jurisdiction’s adopted policies and standards that ensure consideration of and support for walking, bicycling, and transit access during the review of proposed development.

### 4. Traffic Impact Studies

Please list all traffic impact studies that have been conducted as part of the development review of any project that generated more than 100 net new peak hour vehicle trips. (Note: Lower traffic generation thresholds established through the RTPC’s Action Plan may apply). Note whether the study was consistent with the Authority’s Technical Procedures and whether notification and circulation was undertaken during the environmental review process.

### 5. Participation in Cooperative, Multi-Jurisdictional Planning

*No attachments necessary.*

### 6. Five-Year Capital Improvement Program

Please attach the transportation component of the most recent CIP version, if the Authority does not already have it. Otherwise, list the resolution number and date of adoption of the most recent five-year CIP.

### 7. Transportation Systems Management Program

Please attach a copy of the jurisdiction’s TSM ordinance, or list the date of ordinance or resolution adoption and its number.

### 8. Adoption of a voter-approved Urban Limit Line

The local jurisdiction’s adopted ULL is on file at the Authority offices. Please specify any actions that were taken during the reporting period with regard to changes or modifications to the voter-approved ULL, which should include a resolution making a finding of consistency with Measure J and a copy of the related public hearing notice.

### 9. Adoption of the Measure J Growth Management Element

Please attach the adopted Final Measure J Growth Management Element to the local jurisdiction’s General Plan.

### 10. Posting of Signs

*Provide a list of all projects exceeding $250,000 within the jurisdiction, noting which ones are or were signed according to Authority specifications.*

### 11. Maintenance of Effort (MoE)

Please indicate the jurisdiction’s MoE requirement and MoE expenditures for the past two fiscal years (FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17). See the Instructions to identify the MoE requirements.

### 12. Submittal of LSM Reporting Form and Audit Reporting Form

### *Please attach LSM Reporting and Audit Forms for FY 2015-16 and 2016-17.*

1. Other Considerations

Please specify any alternative methods of achieving compliance for any components for the Measure J Growth Management Program