



2017 Countywide Comprehensive Transportation Plan



Draft Environmental Impact Report

State Clearinghouse #2017022054



June 16, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Executive Summary

Background	ES-1
Project Overview	ES-2
Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	ES-7
Summary of Alternatives	ES-9
Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	ES-10

1.1 Introduction

Background	1.1-2
CEQA Process for This EIR.....	1.1-6
Approach to This EIR.....	1.1-7
Intended Uses of the EIR.....	1.1-8
Report Organization.....	1.1-8

1.2 Project Description

Regional Location and Study Area	1.2-1
Countywide Transportation Plan Background.....	1.2-3
Regional Coordination and Public Outreach	1.2-8
2017 Countywide Transportation Plan Content	1.2-12
2017 Countywide Transportation Plan Long-Range Transportation Investment Program	1.2-20

1.3 Relationship to Other Documents, Programs, and Policies

Local Plans and Programs.....	1.3-1
County Plans and Programs	1.3-2
Bay Area Regional Plans and Programs.....	1.3-3
State Plans and Programs	1.3-5
Federal Plans and Programs	1.3-5

2.0 Environmental Setting, Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Introduction.....	2-1
Types of Impacts.....	2-1
Level of Detail	2-3
Baseline and Future Scenario	2-4

2.1 Traffic and Transportation

Existing Physical Setting2.1-1
Regulatory Setting2.1-10
Impacts and Mitigation Measures2.1-15

2.2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change

Existing Physical Setting2.2-1
Regulatory Setting2.2-9
Impacts and Mitigation Measures2.2-16

2.3 Air Quality

Existing Physical Setting2.3-1
Regulatory Setting2.3-6
Impacts and Mitigation Measures2.3-11

2.4 Agricultural Lands

Existing Physical Setting2.4-1
Regulatory Setting2.4-3
Impacts and Mitigation Measures2.4-7

2.5 Biological Resources

Existing Physical Setting2.5-1
Regulatory Setting2.5-9
Impacts and Mitigation Measures2.5-19

2.6 Cultural Resources

Existing Physical Setting2.6-1
Regulatory Setting2.6-8
Impacts and Mitigation Measures2.6-13

2.7 Geology and Seismicity

Existing Physical Setting2.7-1
Regulatory Setting2.7-10
Impacts and Mitigation Measures2.7-12

2.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials

Existing Physical Setting2.8-1
Regulatory Setting2.8-3
Impacts and Mitigation Measures2.8-8

2.9 Hydrology and Water Resources

Existing Physical Setting2.9-1
 Regulatory Setting2.9-7
 Impacts and Mitigation Measures2.9-14

2.10 Land Use, Population, Housing, and Employment

Existing Physical Setting2.10-1
 Regulatory Setting2.10-6
 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 2.10-13

2.11 Noise

Existing Physical Setting2.11-1
 Regulatory Setting2.11-9
 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 2.11-15

2.12 Visual Resources

Existing Physical Setting2.12-1
 Regulatory Setting2.12-3
 Impacts and Mitigation Measures2.12-5

3.1 Alternatives

Introduction and Overview3.1-1
 Alternative 1: No Project Alternative (2040)3.1-9
 Alternative 2: 2013 RTP Alternative3.1-22
 Alternative 3: Emphasis on Transit Improvements Alternative.....3.1-51
 Alternative 4: Emphasis on Transit, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Programs Alternative.....3.1-79
 Summary of the Alternatives Analysis..... 3.1-109
 Environmentally Superior Alternative 3.1-113

3.2 CEQA Required Conclusions

Significant Unavoidable Effects3.2-1
 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes.....3.2-7
 Growth-Inducing Impacts3.2-7
 Cumulative Impacts.....3.2-11
 Impacts Found Not to be Significant3.2-12

4.1 Report Preparers

4.2 Glossary and Acronyms

Glossary4.2-1
 Acronyms4.2-4

4.3 Bibliography

Project Description.....	4.3-1
Transportation and Circulation	4.3-1
Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change	4.3-1
Air Quality.....	4.3-2
Agricultural Lands.....	4.3-2
Biological Resources	4.3-3
Cultural Resources	4.3-3
Geology and Seismicity	4.3-5
Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	4.3-5
Hydrology and Water Resources	4.3-6
Land Use, Population, Housing, and Employment	4.3-6
Noise	4.3-6
Visual Resources	4.3-7
Alternatives	4.3-8

Appendices

(Technical appendices are included on a Compact Disc included in the back cover of the Draft EIR document.)

Appendix A:	Notice of Preparation/Notice of Public Scoping
Appendix B:	Responses to Notice of Preparation
Appendix C:	Comparison of 2017 Countywide Model outputs to the Most Recently Available Traffic Counts generated by Caltrans
Appendix D:	Transportation Modeling Approach and Results Summary
Appendix E:	Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Air Quality Modeling Results
Appendix F:	Special Status Species – Contra Costa County
Appendix G:	Native American Heritage Commission letter response to Notice of Preparation
Appendix H:	Contra Costa Transportation Authority letter to Metropolitan Transportation Commission

List of Tables

ES-1	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-12
1.2-1	Regional Transportation Plan–Committed and Discretionary Funding for Contra Cost Projects by Mode (2017 \$ in Millions).....	1.2-26
1.2-2	Transportation Investment Program by Mode (2017 \$ in Millions)	1.2-30
1.2-3	Comparison of Program Costs: 2017 (Constant) Dollars vs. Year of Expenditure Dollars (\$ in Millions)	1.2-31
1.2-4	Long-Range Transportation Investment Project List.....	1.2-36
2.1-1	Contra Costa Residents’ Means of Transportation to Work.....	2.1-2
2.1-2	Journey to Work Travel Characteristics, 2014	2.1-2
2.1-3	Summary of Modeling Results.....	2.1-19
2.1-4	VMT Per Capita, 2017 CTP Investment Program, Comparison.....	2.1-21
2.1-5	Vehicle Hours of Delay, 2017 CTP Investment Program, Comparison	2.1-22
2.1-6	Average Freeway and Arterial Speeds, 2017 CTP Investment Program, Comparison.....	2.1-24
2.1-7	Daily Transportation Mode Share, 2017 CTP Investment Program, Comparison	2.1-25
2.1-8	Transit Ridership, 2017 CTP Investment Program, Comparison.....	2.1-26
2.2-1	Projected Bay Area Total and Per Capita Passenger and Light Duty Truck CO ₂ Emissions	2.2-6
2.2-2	Existing and Forecast Annual Bay Area Transportation GHG Emissions (MTCO ₂ e).....	2.2-7
2.2-3	Bay Area Total Annual GHG Emission Projections.....	2.2-8
2.2-4	Bay Area CO ₂ e GHG Emissions by Pollutant, 2015	2.2-8
2.2-5	Bay Area CO ₂ e GHG Emissions by Source, 2015.....	2.2-8
2.2-6	Total and Per Capita Passenger Vehicle CO ₂ Emissions, 2013 RTP Scenario as a Component of Plan Bay Area	2.2-19
2.2-7	Passenger Vehicle Emissions Per Capita, 2017 CTP Investment Program, Comparison	2.2-20
2.2-8	Annual Transportation Emissions, 2017 CTP Investment Program	2.2-22
2.3-1	Summary of Air Pollution Monitoring Data	2.3-2
2.3-2	2017 CTP Consistency with Clean Air Plan Control Measures.....	2.3-13
2.3-3	2017 CTP Investment Program Investments toward Clean Air Plan Control Measures.....	2.3-16
2.3-4	Countywide Emission Estimates for Criteria Pollutants (tons per day), 2017 CTP Investment Program	2.3-23
2.3-5	Countywide Particulate Matter Emissions (tons per day), 2017 CTP Investment Program	2.3-25
2.3-6	Countywide Toxic Air Contaminant Emissions (kg per day), 2017 CTP Investment Program	2.3-27
2.3-7	Relative Change in TAC Emissions, COC and CARE Communities, 2017 CTP Investment Program	2.3-29
2.4-1	Farmland Acres Potentially Affected by Transportation Projects Included in 2017 CTP Investment Program	2.4-12
2.10-1	Bay Area Population and Jobs, 1990 – 2010	2.10-3
2.10-2	Existing and Forecast Population and Employment, Contra Costa County	2.10-5
2.10-3	Households by RTPC, Existing (2010) and Forecast (2040).....	2.10-6

Table of Contents

2.11-1	Typical Noise Levels in the Environment	2.11-2
2.11-2	Reference Noise Levels for Various Rail Operations.....	2.11-6
2.11-3	Typical Construction Phase Noise Levels	2.11-8
2.11-4	Typical Noise Levels from Construction Equipment.....	2.11-9
2.11-5	Summary of FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria	2.11-10
2.11-6	FTA Groundborne Vibration Impact Criteria for General Assessment.....	2.11-13
2.11-7	Summary of FTA Construction Noise Criteria (Guidelines).....	2.11-13
3.1-1	Comparative Funding Summary by Mode (2017 \$ In Millions)	3.1-5
3.1-2	VMT Per Capita, No Project Alternative (2040)	3.1-14
3.1-3	Vehicle Hours Traveled and Vehicle Hours of Delay, No Project Alternative (2040).....	3.1-15
3.1-4	Average Freeway and Arterials Speeds, No Project Alternative (2040)	3.1-15
3.1-5	Daily Transportation Mode Share, No Project Alternative (2040)	3.1-16
3.1-6	Transit Ridership, No Project Alternative (2040)	3.1-16
3.1-7	Annual Transportation Emissions, No Project Alternative (2040)	3.1-17
3.1-8	Emission Estimates for Criteria Pollutants (tons per day), No Project Alternative (2040).....	3.1-18
3.1-9	Particulate Matter Emissions (tons per day), No Project Alternative (2040)	3.1-19
3.1-10	Toxic Air Contaminant Emissions (kg per day), No Project Alternative (2040)	3.1-20
3.1-11	Relative Change in TAC Emissions, COCs versus Countywide, No Project Alternative (2040).....	3.1-21
3.1-12	VMT Per Capita, Alternative 2 Comparison.....	3.1-24
3.1-13	Vehicle Hours of Delay, Alternative 2 Comparison	3.1-25
3.1-14	Average Freeway and Arterial Speeds, Alternative 2 Comparison.....	3.1-26
3.1-15	Daily Transportation Mode Share, Alternative 2 Comparison	3.1-27
3.1-16	Transit Ridership, Alternative 2 Comparison.....	3.1-27
3.1-17	Daily CO ₂ Emissions Per Capita, Alternative 2 Comparison	3.1-28
3.1-18	Annual Transportation Emissions, Alternative 2 Comparison.....	3.1-30
3.1-19	Emission Estimates for Criteria Pollutants (tons per day), Alternative 2 Comparison	3.1-34
3.1-20	Particulate Matter Emissions (tons per day), Alternative 2 Comparison.....	3.1-35
3.1-21	Toxic Air Contaminant Emissions (kg per day), Alternative 2 Comparison	3.1-36
3.1-22	Relative Change in TAC Emissions, COCs versus Countywide, Alternative 2 Comparison	3.1-37
3.1-23	VMT Per Capita, Alternative 3 Comparison.....	3.1-57
3.1-24	Vehicle Hours of Delay, Alternative 3 Comparison	3.1-58
3.1-25	Average Freeway and Arterial Speeds, Alternative 3 Comparison.....	3.1-58
3.1-26	Daily Transportation Mode Share, Alternative 3 Comparison	3.1-59
3.1-27	Transit Ridership, Alternative 3 Comparison.....	3.1-60
3.1-28	Daily CO ₂ Emissions Per Capita, Alternative 3 Comparison	3.1-61
3.1-29	Annual Transportation Emissions, Alternative 3 Comparison.....	3.1-62
3.1-30	Emission Estimates for Criteria Pollutants (tons per day), Alternative 3 Comparison	3.1-66
3.1-31	Particulate Matter Emissions (tons per day), Alternative 3 Comparison	3.1-67
3.1-32	Toxic Air Contaminant Emissions (kg per day), Alternative 3 Comparison	3.1-68
3.1-33	Relative Change in TAC Emissions, COCs versus Countywide, Alternative 3 Comparison	3.1-69
3.1-34	VMT Per Capita, Alternative 4 Comparison.....	3.1-85
3.1-35	Vehicle Hours of Delay, Alternative 4 Comparison	3.1-86
3.1-36	Average Freeway and Arterial Speeds, Alternative 4 Comparison.....	3.1-86
3.1-37	Daily Transportation Mode Share, Alternative 4 Comparison	3.1-87
3.1-38	Transit Ridership, Alternative 4 Comparison.....	3.1-88

3.1-39	Daily CO ₂ Emissions Per Capita, Alternative 4 Comparison	3.1-89
3.1-40	Annual Transportation Emissions, Investment Program, Alternative 4 Comparison.....	3.1-90
3.1-41	Emission Estimates for Criteria Pollutants (tons per day), Alternative 4 Comparison	3.1-94
3.1-42	Particulate Matter Emissions (tons per day), Alternative 4 Comparison	3.1-95
3.1-43	Toxic Air Contaminant Emissions (kg per day), Alternative 4 Comparison	3.1-96
3.1-44	Relative Change in TAC Emissions, COCs versus Countywide, Alternative 4 Comparison	3.1-97
3.1-45	Summary of Impacts for Each Alternative, and Relative Comparison to the Project	3.1-110
3.2-1	Summary of ABAG Growth Projections, 2010—2040, for Contra Costa County and the Bay Area	3.2-9

List of Figures

1.2-1	Contra Costa County Regional Location	1.2-2
1.2-2	Regional Transportation Planning Committee Boundaries	1.2-8
1.2-3	2013 RTP – Roadway and HOV Projects and Programs	1.2-22
1.2-4	2013 RTP – Transit, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Projects and Programs.....	1.2-24
1.2-5	CTP Investment Program – Roadway and HOV Projects and Programs.....	1.2-32
1.2-6	CTP Investment Program – Transit, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Projects and Programs	1.2-34
2.1-1	Contra Costa Routes of Regional Significance	2.1-4
2.1-2	Contra Costa Congestion Management Program Network	2.1-5
2.1-3	Contra Costa Bicycle Master Plan Network	2.1-8
2.2-1	Governor’s Executive Order B-16-2012: GHG Emissions Target for Contra Costa’s Transportation Sector, 2013-2050.....	2.2-26
2.4-1	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to Agricultural Lands	2.4-10
2.5-1	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to Known Sensitive-Status Species Wildlife Occurrences.....	2.5-24
2.5-2	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to Known Sensitive-Status Species Plant Occurrences	2.5-26
2.5-3	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to Critical Habitat	2.5-28
2.5-4	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to Essential Habitat Connectivity Areas	2.5-40
2.5-5	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to East Contra Costa County HCP/NCCP	2.5-44
2.7-1	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to Fault Hazards.....	2.7-16
2.7-2	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to Liquefaction Hazards	2.7-18
2.7-3	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to Landslide Hazards	2.7-24
2.8-1	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to Cortese List Sites.....	2.8-14
2.8-2	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to Fire Hazard Severity Zones.....	2.8-22

2.9-1	CTP LRTIP Major Transportation Projects in relationship to FEMA-Designated 100-year Storm Flood Hazard Zones.....	2.9-25
2.10-1	Contra Costa County Urban Limit Line	2.10-12
2.11-1	Noise Impact Criteria for Transit Projects	2.11-12
3.1-1	Alternative 1 (No Project) and Alternative 2 (2013 RTP) – Roadway and HOV Projects and Programs	3.1-10
3.1-2	Alternative 1 (No Project) and Alternative 2 (2013 RTP) – Transit, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Projects and Programs	3.1-12
3.1-3	Alternative 3 – Roadway and HOV Projects and Programs	3.1-52
3.1-4	Alternative 3 – Transit, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Projects and Programs	3.1-54
3.1-5	Alternative 4 – Roadway and HOV Projects and Programs	3.1-80
3.1-6	Alternative 4 – Transit, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Projects and Programs	3.1-82